

RECEIVED

FEB 11 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

February 10, 2000

William E. Kennard
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-B201
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Chairman Kennard

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the

cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

William E. Kennard
FCC Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-B201
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Chairman Kennard

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code

we need to bill the toll to the
cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Susan Ness
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-B115
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Ness

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American

Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Susan Ness
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-B115
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Ness

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that

does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-A302
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller

for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Harold W. Furchtgott-Roth
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-A302
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing

the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Michael K. Powell
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-A204
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Powell

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX

recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Michael K. Powell
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-A204
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Powell

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For

example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Gloria Tristani
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-C302
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Dear Commissioner Tristani

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900"

numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Gloria Tristani
FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-C302
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Commissioner Tristani

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a

variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Thomas Sugrue
Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-C252
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial
Mobile Radio Services

Dear Mr. Sugrue

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications

department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

James D. Schlichting
Deputy Bureau Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-C254
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Mr. Schlichting

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus

buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Joe Levin
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B135
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Mr. Levin

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

David Siehl
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-A164
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Mr. Siehl

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.

February 10, 2000

Kris Monteith
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-C122
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 97-207: Calling Party Pays Service Offering in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services

Dear Ms. Monteith

As a member of ACUTA: the Association of Telecommunications Professionals in Higher Education, Western Maryland College has closely followed the Calling Party Pays ("CPP") rule making proceeding and strongly supports the positions expressed in ACUTA's comments. Like many ACUTA members, we are a non-profit educational institution deeply concerned that without appropriate safeguards, CPP will expose Western Maryland College to significant financial liability that would undermine our ongoing effort to provide educational services.

Western Maryland currently has over 1500 full-time students and 500 employees. With an extensive telecommunications infrastructure accessible to such a large number of student and

employee users, we face the very real threat of uncontrollable, unauthorized CPP calls.

Currently, students and employees place telephone calls from extensions in campus buildings that are routed through a centralized PBX controlled by the telecommunications department. Our existing PBXs can easily be programmed to block, or track call detail for, a variety of calls, such as toll ("1+") calls and calls to pay-per-call services (i.e., calls to "900" numbers), based on the unique numbering schemes associated with these types of calls. For example, when a student places a long distance call from his/her dormitory room, the PBX recognizes the 1+ dialing pattern and knows to request an authorization code before completing the call. This process enables our telecommunications department to bill the individual caller for his/her toll charges. If a new type of toll call is introduced (in the form of a CPP service) that does not use the same type of numbering scheme as toll calls under the North American Numbering Plan, our PBX will be unable to identify the call and request the authorization code we need to bill the toll to the cost-causing party.